

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/014,854	SHIOZAWA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jason M. Perilla	2611

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Jason M. Perilla.

(3) ____.

(2) Nikolaus Schibli.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 23 May 2006

Time: 1:30PM

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 5-8, 12-15, 19, and 20

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant reviewed and approved a proposed Examiner's Amendment to place the case into direct condition for allowance.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)